

## Website Evaluation Form

### Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

### I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: chemistryshare.neocities.org/ISLT7360/pilotwebsite.html
2. Author of site evaluated: Phillip Norris
3. Evaluator's first name: Ryan

### II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4, 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM): For windows 7: HA  
Macbook Pro, 2.3GHz i5, 8GB RAM, Mojave 10.14.6
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)  
Firefox 69.0
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):  
cable, institutional

### III Design and Development

**Instruction:** For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification.** Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
<b>DESIGN</b>					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Divides into categories / topics.</i>					
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Orange and Blue? The light blue text on <del>and</del> blue background hard to read</i>					
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>"Home" Maybe change to "Overview" or something</i>					
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Yes, can get back.</i>					
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Pictures / videos?</i>					
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>No File size</i>					
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>N/A</i>					
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Hard to read.</i>					
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Lessons, yes!</i>					

CONTENT	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
---------	-------------------	----------	---------	-------	----------------

1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback It is always showing how resources -  
 Maybe add - "Energy" on the energy page

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback Yes! Tested all links

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback Yes.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback More files / Feedback should be updated drawing users back.

6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

Making comments on lessons is a great idea.

.pdf poor sharing file type but I am guessing it is just a proof of concept.

**CREDIBILITY**

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
--	-------------------	----------	---------	-------	----------------

1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback Who ARE YOU?

2. Contact person with e-mail address is included. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback At bottom of website

3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback Very welcoming. Explains purpose.

4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback "use(r)?" "can use this website would"  
Home page →

6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback Sept 19 below